

# AGENDA



For a meeting of the
<b>ALCOHOL, ENTERTAINMENT &amp; LATE NIGHT REFRESHMENT LICENSING COMMITTEE</b>
to be held on
<b>FRIDAY, 2 AUGUST 2013</b>
at
<b>10.00 AM</b>
in the
<b>COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNCIL OFFICES, ST PETER'S HILL, GRANTHAM NG31 6PZ</b>
<b>Beverly Agass, Chief Executive</b>

Committee Members:	Councillor Mark Ashberry, Councillor Pam Bosworth (Chairman), Councillor Robert Broughton, Councillor George Chivers, Councillor Breda Griffin, Councillor Reginald Howard, Councillor Graddon Rowlands, Councillor Bob Russell (Vice-Chairman), Councillor Susan Sandall, Councillor Mrs Jean Taylor and Councillor Frank Turner
Committee Support Officer:	Lucy Bonshor 01476 40 61 20 <a href="mailto:l.bonshor@southkesteven.gov.uk">l.bonshor@southkesteven.gov.uk</a>

**Members of the Committee are invited to attend the above meeting to consider the items of business listed below.**

**1. APOLOGIES**

**2. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS**

Members are asked to disclose any interests in matters for consideration at the meeting.

**3. MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON 5TH JULY 2013**

**(Enclosure)**

**4. LICENSING ACT 2003: REVIEW OF PREMISES LICENCE FOR WILLIAM CECIL, STAMFORD**

Report CSL033 by the Community Safety and Licensing Service Manager.

**(Enclosure)**

**(THE APPENDICES TO THE REPORT AT AGENDA ITEM 4 ARE ATTACHED FOR COMMITTEE MEMBERS ONLY. IF ANY OTHER MEMBERS WISH TO SEE THE SUPPORTING INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT THE SERVICE MANAGER, COMMUNITY SAFETY AND LICENSING).**

**5. ANY OTHER BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIRMAN, BY REASON OF SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, DECIDES IS URGENT.**

# MINUTES

**ALCOHOL, ENTERTAINMENT &  
LATE NIGHT REFRESHMENT  
LICENSING COMMITTEE  
FRIDAY, 5 JULY 2013**



---

## COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

Councillor Mark Ashberry  
Councillor Pam Bosworth (Chairman)  
Councillor Robert Broughton  
Councillor George Chivers  
Councillor Reginald Howard

Councillor Graddon Rowlands  
Councillor Bob Russell (Vice-Chairman)  
Councillor Susan Sandall  
Councillor Mrs Jean Taylor

## OFFICERS

Licensing Officers (Richard Etherton, Pam Robinson)  
Solicitor to the Committee/Service Manager Legal and Democratic Services  
(John Armstrong)  
Committee Support Officer (Lucy Bonshor)

---

### 1. APOLOGIES

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Breda Griffin.

### 2. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

None disclosed.

### 3. MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON 10TH MAY 2013

The minutes of the meeting held on 10th May 2013 were agreed as a correct record of the decisions taken.

### 4. LICENSING ACT 2003: APPLICATION TO RENEW A SEXUAL ENTERTAINMENT VENUE LICENCE AND A VARIATION TO EXISTING HOURS AT TABOO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB, 99 WESTGATE, GRANTHAM

#### Decision:

That the application to renew the Sexual Entertainment Venue Licence

**and the variation to the existing hours at Taboo Gentleman's Club, 99 Westgate, Grantham be granted as applied for.**

The Solicitor to the Committee confirmed who was present. Mr Kent solicitor for the applicants Mehmet Yusuf Yenibertiz and Maria Karlsson and Mrs Brown attended as an interested party.

The Licensing Officer referred to report CSL/032 which concerned the renewal of a Sexual Entertainment Venue Licence for Taboo Gentleman's Club in Grantham. In accordance with the Authority's procedures, all applications relating to sex entertainment venues, with the exception of uncontested transfer applications were to be heard by the Licensing Committee. A request had also been made to vary the opening hours.

The Police and Crime Act 2009 amended Schedule 3 to the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982 to permit Local Authorities to licence sex establishments.

The applicant had submitted a renewal application on 26th April 2013 and had run a Sexual Entertainment Venue licence at the location since 2012. The application asked for a variation to the existing hours and days the premises was open from: Monday – Sunday 09:00hrs – 03:15hrs to Monday – Sunday 03:15hrs – 04:15hrs. On Bank Holiday weekends, Christmas Eve, Boxing Day and New Years Eve a further 45 minutes until 5:00hrs had been requested.

Three letters of objection had been received from the same person objecting to the variation and contained within the body of the letters comments pertaining to the Sexual Entertainment Venue. Two e-mails had been received from another person objecting to the variation and also making references to the Sexual Entertainment Venue. No objections had been received from any of the responsible authorities. A letter from the Lincolnshire Police County Licensing Sergeant was appended to the report confirming that they had no objection to the licence or variation of hours.

The Licensing Officer reminded Members that each application was to be considered and determined on its own merits and any refusal of the application had to be on certain defined mandatory or discretionary grounds as outlined within the report.

A Member asked about the closing time of other premises in the area, were the hours asked for by the applicant "out of the ordinary". The Licensing Officer replied that it was a matter for the committee to decide; the hours of other premises in the area varied and were not a material consideration for the application.

The interested party, Mrs Brown stated that she thought the opening hours of other premises in the area were a very relevant consideration.

Mr Kent then presented the applicants case. He referred to the previous year and that the applicants had been operating the premises for a number of years prior to the change in legislation which required the Sexual Entertainment Venue (SEV) Licence to be renewed annually. He referred to the Premises Licence for the Premises which had already been renewed including the variation to hours which were being requested alongside the SEV licence. The Police had made no objection to either renewal application. The premises had been operating without problems for the past 12 months. A meeting had been held with the police with regard to the application to vary the hours and they had no objections. Reference though was made to the responsibility that the applicants had in maintaining the same standards. He went through the grounds on which an application could be refused and highlighted the grounds that were applicable to this particular application. There was no evidence for refusal and other than the request for a variation in hours there was nothing significantly different from the application submitted the previous year. Strict rules applied to the premises which would be primarily open on Thursdays, Fridays and Saturdays. He then referred to the Licensing objectives and the criteria for Members to take into account although the criteria for a SEV Licence was different, the Licensing objectives he maintained, should still be acknowledged. He could not see any legitimate grounds for refusing the licence, permission had been granted to show films but these would be music videos for background entertainment. From the representations made he could not see any evidence to support the concerns raised and therefore he asked the Committee to renew the licence as applied for including the variation to extend the hours by one hour and an extra 45minutes on the dates outlined in the report.

Questions were put to Mr Kent by Members concerning the reason to increase hours to which Mr Kent replied that the main reason was customer demand.

The Interested Party then made representation. She began by referring to advertising that had taken place at the premises in November last year which was contrary to the terms of the licence granted and she had informed the Licensing Section. Her main concern was the nature of the premises. She read extracts from a letter that she had received from the Home Office about what should be taken into account when a SEV Licence was being considered and the character of an area should be a consideration. She felt that there was little police presence on the street and Members did not have a clear picture of what happens at night. She then referred to the proximity of a nursery and the fact that young children walked by the premises regularly and asked questions about the premises. She indicated that people had made comments about the premises to her; however, no representations had been received by the Licensing Section. She felt that the extension of hours should not be allowed due to the proximity of the nursery and the time children walked by the premises.

The Licensing Officer then clarified point made concerning the advertising and also the time that the nursery was open which did not coincide with that of the

premises and he confirmed that no other representations had been received from anybody else. Referring to the change in hours he stated that the police had been invited to attend the hearing but they had felt that it was not necessary to attend and the policing of the market place was correct.

Questions were then put to the interested party by Members concerning the references she had made about children from the nursery walking near to the premises and the time. It was confirmed that the premises did not start performances before 7pm in the evening and the nursery closed at 6pm.

Further discussion followed and Mrs Brown made reference to advertising by the premises by having scantily clad women in the doorway.

Mrs Brown was asked if she had evidence to support her views as no other representations had been made.

The Licensing Officer then gave a closing statement. He reminded the Committee that each application should be viewed on its own merits and they should have regard to:

- The authority's sex establishment procedures.
- The Human Rights Act.
- SKDC's regulations prescribing standard conditions.
- Schedule 3 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982.

The options open to the Committee were:

- To renew the application as applied for.
- To renew the application with modifications and/or additional conditions.
- Refuse the renewal. If, having considered the renewal application the committee decided to refuse it, it had to provide the applicant with the reasons for the decision in writing.

The applicant then gave a closing statement. She reminded the committee that they were not big operators; they had run the premises for a number of years before the new legislation came in. They were just trying to run a business with hard working women who signed contracts and abided by the rules of the premises. She referred to references made about scantily clad women at the door to the premises. She informed the Committee that the women who worked in the premises were not allowed to go outside without being suitably dressed with jackets/coats, and in any event no evidence could be given that the women at the entrance to the premises were guests or performers. The premises were not a "brothel" and she invited the Committee to the premises which was similar to a cocktail bar.

The interested party then gave a closing statement she strongly disagreed with the impression that she may have given that the premises was a brothel this was not her intention at all and she strongly denied this. She believed that the

granting of the licence and the variation would have an impact on the community. She referred to the address of the premises which had been stated as 99 and 99a she thought they wanted to run two establishments one for the films and one for the club; however she had had clarification that this had been a mistake. She also referred to the confusion over the website address for representations to be made. She concluded by stating that she strongly objected to the granting of the SEV Licence and any variation to the hours.

*(11.21am the Licensing Officers, applicants and interested party left the meeting)*

Members discussed the application having regard to the information contained within the report and the representations made during the meeting. Although Members respected the views the interested party had made, there was no evidence to support these and no other representations had been received. No objections had been made by any of the statutory authorities and therefore it was proposed and seconded to approve the application as applied for including the variation to hours. One Member abstained from voting.

*(11.38am the Licensing Offices, applicants and interested party returned to the meeting)*

The Solicitor to the Committee read out the decision. The decision of the Committee was to renew the Sexual Entertainment Venue Licence in accordance with Schedule 3 of the Local Government Miscellaneous Provisions Act 1982 (as amended) together with the application to vary the hours were approved as applied for.

## **5. CLOSE OF MEETING**

The meeting closed at 11.40am.

## REPORT TO ALCOHOL, ENTERTAINMENT & LATE NIGHT REFRESHMENT COMMITTEE

**REPORT OF:** Mark Jones, Service Manager, Community Safety & Licensing

**REPORT NO:** CSL 033

**DATE:** 2 August 2013

<b>TITLE:</b>	Review of a Premises Licence, William Cecil Hotel, 36-38 High Street St Martins, Stamford.	
<b>KEY DECISION OR POLICY FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL:</b>	N/A	
<b>PORTFOLIO HOLDER: NAME AND DESIGNATION:</b>	Councillor John Smith Healthy Environment Portfolio Holder	
<b>CONTACT OFFICER:</b>	Pam Robinson, Senior Licensing Officer 01476 406138 <a href="mailto:p.robinson@southesteven.gov.uk">p.robinson@southesteven.gov.uk</a>	
<b>INITIAL IMPACT ANALYSIS:</b>  Equality and Diversity	Carried out and Referred to in paragraph (7) below  N/A	Full impact assessment Required:
<b>FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT:</b>	This report is publicly available via the Your Council and Democracy link on the Council's website: <a href="http://www.southkesteven.gov.uk">www.southkesteven.gov.uk</a>	
<b>BACKGROUND PAPERS</b>	Statement of Licensing Policy 6 January 2011. <a href="http://www.southkesteven.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=2955">http://www.southkesteven.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=2955</a>  Guidance issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003. <a href="http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/publications/alcohol-drugs/alcohol/guidance-section-182-licensing?view=Binary">http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/publications/alcohol-drugs/alcohol/guidance-section-182-licensing?view=Binary</a>	

## **1. RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 1.1 It is recommended that the Alcohol, Entertainment and Late Night Refreshment Licensing Committee (“the Committee”) consider the application for a review of the premises licence relating to a premises known as The William Cecil Hotel, High Street St Martins, Stamford and determine either to:
- a. modify the conditions of the licence;
  - b. exclude a licensable activity from the licence;
  - c. remove the designated premises supervisor;
  - d. suspend the licence for a period not exceeding 3 months; or
  - e. revoke the licence.

If the Committee decides that none of the above steps are necessary then it need take no action.

## **2. PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

- 2.1 The purpose of this report is to provide the committee with information to enable it to consider this application for a review of the premise licence under Section 51 of the Licensing Act 2003 (‘the Act’).
- 2.2 Where a relevant application for a review is received, the Licensing Authority must hold a hearing to determine the application. The options available to the Committee are as detailed at item 1 of this report.

The guidance issued under Section 182 of the Act is:

“In deciding which of these powers to invoke, it is expected that licensing authorities should, so far as possible, seek to establish the cause or causes of the concerns which the representations identify. The remedial action taken should generally be directed at these causes and should always be no more than an appropriate and proportionate response.”  
(Paragraph 11.20 at page 78 of the Guidance Issued under S182 of the Act.)

- 2.3 The Committee should therefore take a stepped approach to the problem and the Committee should assess the evidence to seek to understand the cause of the problem and focus their powers accordingly.

## **3. DETAILS OF REPORT**

- 3.1 Environmental Protection, one of the Responsible Authorities under the Licensing Act 2003, submitted an application on 10 June 2013 to review the premises licence for the William Cecil Hotel, Stamford. (Appendix 1)
- 3.2 The grounds for review are under the licensing objective of:
- Public Nuisance
- 3.3 At a hearing held on 17 March 2006, conditions were placed on the licence that noise levels for the marquee should not exceed 85 decibels and that the licence holder shall take steps to reduce the level of noise where it is likely to cause a disturbance to local residents.

Written records of the assessments will be kept and include the time and date of the checks, the person making them and the results, including any remedial action. Please see appendix 2 for the minutes of that hearing.

3.4 The William Cecil Hotel (formerly Lady Anne's Hotel) is situated on the outskirts of town on the Southside of Stamford. The premise holds a licence for the following activities:

- Live music
- Recorded music
- Performance of dance
- Making music
- Dancing
- Late night refreshment
- Sale of alcohol

3.5 The times for the licensable activities are: (Please see appendix 3 for full details of the licence.)

### **Live Music, Recorded Music and Sale of Alcohol**

Cecil, Greco, Warrior and Exeter areas:

1100 – 2400 Sunday to Wednesday  
1100 – 0100 Thursday to Saturday

**Seasonal variations:** An ending time of 0100 for the whole month of December and for the period 1<sup>st</sup> to 15<sup>th</sup> January.

Marquee:

1100 – 2400 Sunday to Saturday

**Seasonal variations:** None

**Performance of dance:**

1100 – 2300 Monday to Sunday

**Making music, and dancing**

Cecil, Greco, Warrior and Exeter areas:

1700 – 2400 Sunday to Wednesday  
1700 – 0100 Thursday to Saturday

**Seasonal variations:** An ending time of 0100 for the whole month of December and for the period 1<sup>st</sup> to 15<sup>th</sup> January.

Marquee

1700 – 2400 Sunday to Saturday

**Seasonal variations:** None

## **Late Night Refreshment**

### Cecil, Greco, Warrior and Exeter areas:

2300 – 0030 Sunday to Wednesday  
2300 – 0130 Thursday to Saturday

**Seasonal variations:** An ending time of 0100 for the whole month of December and for the period 1<sup>st</sup> to 15<sup>th</sup> January

### Marquee

2300 – 2400 Sunday to Saturday

**Seasonal variations:** None

- 3.6 Since August 2011, Environmental Protection has received 15 complaints of loud music and noise from the guests at functions within the marquee.
- 3.6.1 The marquee is a permanent structure but did not have planning permission. A retrospective planning application was requested by the authority's Planning Department.
- 3.6.2 The main complainants are the students of the adjacent boarding school however, local residents have also complained.
- 3.6.3 Investigations by Environmental Protection have revealed the music from the marquee was loud and intrusive and a noise abatement notice was served on 11 October 2011.
- 3.6.4 In response to the noise complaints, the William Cecil installed a directional sound system in the marquee on 3 January 2012. The system was inspected by Environmental Protection and a noise limit was agreed. Sound tests proved that the music played through this system would not breach the abatement notice.
- 3.6.5 Six months later, further noise complaints coming from the marquee were received and further monitoring identified that the music and guests attending events in the marquee were both loud and intrusive.
- 3.6.6 On 5 November 2012 a meeting was held with The William Cecil management, Planning Officers and Environmental Protection to discuss the outstanding planning permission and the noise nuisance identified from recent monitoring. A recording of an event held on 6 October 2012 can be played for the Committee if they so wish.
- 3.6.7 Because the last abatement notice was served more than 12 months previously, a further noise abatement notice was served on the William Cecil on 9 November 2012. Environmental Protection recommended that the marquee should not be granted planning permission for events incorporating music and late night entertainment.

- 3.6.8 Further on-site monitoring on 24 November and a joint monitoring exercise with the William Cecil noise consultant on 4 January 2013, identified that music from the marquee was acceptable but the noise from guests was loud and intrusive.
- 3.6.9 Planning permission was finally refused but an appeal against this refusal has been lodged on 1 May 2013.
- 3.6.10 Since the refusal of planning permission, noise complaints have been received on six further occasions.
- 3.6.11 Please refer to appendix one for the full details of the review and testimonies from boarders.

**4. OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED**

There are no other options available.

**5. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

There are no resource implications associated with this report

**6. RISK AND MITIGATION**

Risk has been considered as part of this report and any specific high risks are included in the table below:

Category Risk	Action / Controls

**7. ISSUES ARISING FROM IMPACT ANALYSIS**

N/A

**8. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS**

- 8.1 Crime and disorder implications will be considered in accordance with the licensing objective and the duty to consider in accordance with s.17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998

**9. COMMENTS OF FINANCIAL SERVICES**

- 9.1 There are no specific financial implications associated with this report however in the event of an applicant making an appeal against a decision which was then subsequently upheld, the council may occur additional legal costs

**10. COMMENTS OF LEGAL AND DEMOCRATIC SERVICES**

The Act provides a procedure whereby a premises licence can be reviewed at any time on the application of an interested party or a responsible authority. On receipt of an application for review of a licence, the Council are obliged to hold a hearing to consider the application within 28 days of receipt of the application.

The Committee should consider the Guidance issued under S182 of the Act and the Council's own statement of licensing policy when considering if the application merits evidence that the licensing objectives are being undermined or not.

The licensing objectives are:

- Prevention of Crime & Disorder
- Public Safety
- Public Nuisance
- Protection of Children from harm

Any decision taken by the Committee should be reasonable and proportionate and must be appropriate for the promotion of the licensing objectives. The decision of the committee should be no more than a proportionate response to the concerns raised.

## **11. COMMENTS OF OTHER RELEVANT SERVICES**

Justin Johnson, Principal Planning Officer of the Council has submitted a background of the history regarding planning permission of the marquee and this can be read in full at appendix 4.

## **12. APPENDICES:**

1. Application for review of premises licence.
2. Minutes of hearing held on 17 March 2006.
3. Copy of premises licence.
4. Comments of Planning

---

South Kesteven District Council

**APPENDIX 2**

*Promoting pride in our communities*

---

**Agenda item**

**LICENSING ACT 2003 - APPLICATION FOR VARIATION TO A LICENCE - LADY ANNE'S HOTEL, 37-38 HIGH STREET, ST. MARTIN'S, STAMFORD**

- Meeting of Alcohol, Entertainment & Late Night Refreshment Licensing Committee, Friday, 17th March, 2006 9.30 am (Item 193.)

**a) APPLICATION FOR VARIATION TO A PREMISE LICENCE – LADY ANNE'S HOTEL, 37-38 HIGH STREET, ST. MARTIN'S, STAMFORD**

Report ENV346 from the Head of Environmental Health and Licensing

**(Enclosure)**

**b) APPLICATION FOR A VARIATION TO A PREMISE LICENCE – ROYAL BRITISH LEGION, BARROWBY**

Report ENV349 from the Head of Environmental Health and Licensing

**(Enclosure)**

(THE APPENDICES TO THE REPORTS AT AGENDA ITEMS 4 (a) AND (b) ARE ATTACHED FOR COMMITTEE MEMBERS ONLY. IF ANY OTHER MEMBERS WISH TO SEE THE SUPPORTING INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT THE HEAD OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AND LICENSING)

**Minutes:**

*Decision:-*

*That the application for variation of a premises licence in respect of the Lady Anne's Hotel, 37-38 High Street, St. Martins, Stamford be agreed as applied for with the following conditions:*

- 1) *The noise level for the premises whilst being used for public entertainments purposes shall not exceed 85 decibels or at a level specified in writing by the Head of Environmental Health and Licensing, measured at any point.*
- 2) *The licence holder or his representative shall conduct regular assessments of the noise coming from the premises on every occasion the premises are used for regulated entertainment and shall take steps to reduce the level of noise where it is likely to cause a disturbance to local residents. A written record shall be made of those assessments in a log book kept for that purpose and shall include, the time and date of the checks, the person making them and the results including any remedial action.*

*Note to applicant – that regular dialogue and consultation with neighbours to the Lady Anne's Hotel should occur.*

The Committee had before them the Head of Environmental Health and Licensing's report ENV346 in relation to an application for a variation to a licence in respect of The Lady Anne's Hotel, 37-38 High Street, St. Martin's, Stamford. A full copy of the application was attached at appendix one, including a plan showing the location of the premises. Representations received from two local residents were attached at appendix two.

The Clerk to the Committee, in introducing the application, clarified the speakers. Present were the applicants and their representative.

The Chairman sought and received confirmation that the applicant had received and understood the procedure to be followed.

In introducing the report, the Head of Environmental Health and Licensing reminded the Committee that the application was for the variation of a licence to increase the number of hours during which regulated entertainment could be provided and to provide longer hours for the retail sale of alcohol both inside the building and a marquee on the grounds, with 45 minutes drinking up time. The application also included

provision of late night refreshment and to provide for the consumption of alcohol in an external area of grassland. The applicant also sought to remove the restriction attached to the current licence by reason of the old supper hours conditions imposed by virtue of the former legislation. The variation also requested the sale and consumption of alcohol for residents should be available until 02:00. The Head of Environmental Health and Licensing confirmed that the number of complaints received recently by the Environmental Health Team had been minimal.

The representative for the applicant had prepared additional information, which was circulated to the Committee. Committee Members were concerned that circulating information at the meeting meant that they would not have a sufficient interval to fully consider the material. The officers and applicants left the room while the Committee examined the new information.

On returning to the meeting, the applicant's representative presented their case. In addition to residential accommodation, the hotel provided facilities for private functions and conferences. When the 2003 Licensing Act had come into force, the applicants had chosen only to convert their licence. The provision of live music in the marquee would finish at an earlier time than the entertainment in the building. Following complaints to the Environmental Health Department in the past, a noise policy was introduced and a noise limiter, set at a level of 85 decibels, was fitted. The applicant had undertaken an independent sound assessment, which showed the noise level to be below this in a simulated trial. During events, the supervisor would measure the sound level at areas around the premises. The applicant confirmed that the noise limiter was fitting inside the marquee, when questioned by the Head of Environmental Health and Licensing.

Members of the Committee were then given the opportunity to question the applicant. Issues raised included issues raised in the letter of objection about noise levels, the positioning of the noise limiter, the difference that people inside the marquee would make to volume levels and the level of dialogue with neighbours.

In summing up, the Head of Environmental Health and Licensing reminded the Committee that the application was for the variation of a premises licence, which would increase times for the sale and consumption of alcohol, increase the hours at locations at which regulated entertainment could be provided, and supply alcohol for residents of the hotel until 02:00.



The applicant's representative then summed up and the officers, applicants and applicant's representative left the room.

The Committee then considered the application in detail and it was proposed, seconded and agreed that the licence should be granted as applied for with conditions attached, stipulating that the noise limiter should be set at a level of 85 decibels or at a level specified in writing. The Committee also agreed that the licence holder should carry out regular assessments of noise emanating from the premises, which should be logged in a book kept for that purpose. The Committee also stated that they would encourage the applicant to engage local residents more fully.

The officers and applicant then returned to the meeting, and were advised of the decision, as noted above.

*11:00-11:17 - Meeting adjourned*

**Supporting documents:**

- 
- ENV346 LADY ANNE'S HOTEL, item 193.  PDF 109 KB
- ENV349 ROYAL BRITISH LEGION, item 193.  PDF 84 KB